

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/881,735	06/18/2001	Ming-Tang Chang	2771-11	8768	
759	90 09/30/2002				
NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C. 1100 North Glebe Road, 8th Floor			EXAMINER		
Arlington, VA			FOX, DA	VID T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1638	#1	
			DATE MAILED: 09/30/2002	u 10	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	1-01000	,, <u> </u>	Applicant(s)	27	_0	
Office Action Summary	09/8817	/ 3)	Cha	Chang et al		
omoo Aonon ounmary	Examiner	K	~~	Group Art Unit		
			9/-	1 1 20	<u> </u>	
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover s	sheet b	eneath the co	orrespondence a	ddress	
Peri d for Reply	-	/_	_			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE		MONTH(S) FROM THE MAI	LING DATE	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defaution. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard 	reply within the statuto ult, expire SIX (6) MON	ry minim THS fror	ium of thirty (30) in the mailing dat	days will be consider e of this communicati	ed timely. on .	
Status						
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on					·	
☐ This action is FINAL .						
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19				the merits is clo	sed in	
Disposition of Claims /-3						
☑ Claim(s)			is/are p	pending in the app	lication.	
Of the above claim(s)			is/are \	withdrawn from co	nsideration.	
☐ Claim(s)		is/are allowed.				
☐ Claim(s):			is/are r	ejected.	1	
□ Claim(s)			is/are o	objected to.	* \$ je.	
☐ Claim(s)			are sul	piect to restriction	or election	
• •			require		e i	
Annlication Paners					1 .	
	ing Poviow PTO 9/	ıΩ			1 .	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw	-		□ disapprove	H	•	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is _ app	roved	□ disapprove	d.		
 □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objection. 	is _ app	roved	□ disapprove	d.	,	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ applected to by the Exar	roved	□ disapprove	d.) car	
 □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 	is □ applected to by the Exar	roved	□ disapproved	d.		
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ appoint is □	roved miner. 1 9(a)-	 (d).	d.		
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ appoint is □	roved miner. 1 9(a)-	 (d).	d.		
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ appoint is □	roved niner. 1 9(a)- ents ha	(d). ave been			
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approprieted to by the Exar under 35 U.S.C. § 1 of the priority documents	roved niner. 1 9(a)- ents ha	(d). ave been			
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num	is □ appoint is □	roved niner. 1 9(a)- ents ha	(d). ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).			
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num □ received in this national stage application from the Ir	is □ appoint is □	roved niner. 1 9(a)- ents ha	(d). ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).			
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ applected to by the Examunder 35 U.S.C. § 1 of the priority documenter)	roved niner. 1 9(a)- ents ha	(d). ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).			
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num received in this national stage application from the Instance of the Certified copies not received: Attachment(s)	is □ applected to by the Examunder 35 U.S.C. § 1 of the priority documenter)	1 9(a)- ents ha	(d). ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)). nterview Summ	·	***	

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

Art Unit: 1638

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-7 and 10-13, drawn to a plant with mutations in two starch synthesis genes and a method for making it, classified in class 800, subclass 263, for example.

- II. Claims 8-9 and 14-16, drawn to isolated starch, classified in class 536, subclass 102, for example.
- III. Claim 17, drawn to a plant with a gene for male sterility and a single mutant starch synthesis gene, classified in class 800, subclass 303, for example.
- IV. Claims 18-23, 27-29 and 33-35, drawn to a sol and methods of making and using it, classified in class 435, subclass 101, for example.
- V. Claims 24-26 and 30-32, drawn to foodstuffs, classified in class 426, subclass 549, for example.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a source of plant propagation material or as food for animals or humans and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are

Art Unit: 1638

not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation and different functions. The plant of Group I involves two starch synthesis mutants, not required by the plant of Group III. The plant of Group III requires genetic means for male sterility, not required by the plant of Group I.

Inventions II and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product can be used in a materially different process, such as a process for making paper or textiles.

Inventions II and V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP §

Art Unit: 1638

806.05(h)). In the instant case the product can be used in a materially different process, such as a process for making paper or textiles.

Each of inventions II, IV and V, and each of inventions I and III, are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation and different functions. The isolated starch, and methods and reagents for making sols and foodstuffs of Groups II and IV-V, are not required by the whole plants of Groups I and III. Furthermore, the isolated starch of Group II could be made by a process other than isolation from the genetically modified plants of Group I, such as chemical modification of wild-type starch.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, classification, and fields of search, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1638

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David T. Fox whose telephone number is (703) 308-0280. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 10:30AM to 7:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amy Nelson, can be reached on (703) 306-3218. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306. The after final fax phone number is (703) 872-9307.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

August 15, 2002

DAVID T. FOX
PRIMARY EXAMINER

GROUP 180- (63)